



## **DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY**

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

Asabelow-named inventor, I hereby declare that:


JUH 0 5 2002

My correct residence, post office address and citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

"AUTOMATIC DESIGN AND SALE OF COMPLEX MULTI-VENDOR ELECTRONIC AND MECHANICAL SYSTEMS"

SYSTE	MS",								
	The specification of this subject matter:  is attached hereto.								
was assigned serial No.10/081,933;									
which was amended on;									
do not b my inver invention sale in th has not a applicati represer design p	elieve the tion the name of the Unite been partentives patent appropriate the time of time of	uding the claims, as ament the claimed invention ereof, or patented or defereof, or patented or desired that one years of States of America mentented or made the substitution of the country foreign to the or assigns more than to oplication) prior to this and wedge the duty to disclusive cordance with 37 C.F.F. or claim foreign priority be entor's certificate listed or's certificate having a	on was ever known or scribed in any printed reprior to this applicatione than one year price oject of an inventor's of United States of Amwelve months (for a unapplication.  Ose information which R. §1.56(a).  enefits under 35 U.S. below and have also	used in the publication on, that the or to this appertificate is erica on an tility patent of is material C. §119 (a)-identified be	United in any of same wellication sued be applicated to the electric (d) of a glow any	States of country by as not in and that fore the ion filed ion) or sexaminate any foreign or foreign	f America before before my n public use or on at the invention date of this by me or my legalix months (for a tion of this application(s) application for		
•		oplication(s)	ming date before that	or the appli	Callon	II WITICIT	Priority Claimed		
FIIOI FOI	I EIQII AL	phication(s)					Friority Claimet		
Number		Country	Month/Day/Year File	ed	Yes	No			
Number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Country	Month/Day/Year File	ed	Yes	No			
Number		Country	Month/Day/Year File	ed	Yes	No			



I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

60/270,949	February 21, 2002	COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED	
Application Number	Filing Date	ONIGHALLITIEL	
Application Number	Filing Date	<del></del>	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Gerhard W. Thielman, Registration No. 43,186; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: P47,824; and John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282 as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Telephone: (408) 292-5800

Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.




Doc No. INTG-001

FULL NAME OF FIRST Name INVENTOR 1		MIDDLE Initial(s)	LAST Name	LAST Name	
	Bill	N	Musharbas	h	
RESIDENCE AN CITIZENSHIP	D City	State or Foreign Country	Country of C	Citizenship	
	San Jose	California	United Stat	tes	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or Country	Zip Code	
	3622 Pleasant Knoll Drive	San Jose	California	95148	

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under

Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.



## 37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
      - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to who
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.